Essential amino acids are primarily responsible for the amino acid stimulation of muscle protein anabolism in healthy elderly adults
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Nutritional supplementation may be used to treat muscle loss with aging (sarcopenia). However, if physical activity does not increase, the elderly tend to compensate for the increased energy delivered by the supplements with reduced food intake, which results in a calorie substitution rather than supplementation. Thus, an effective supplement should stimulate muscle anabolism more efficiently than food or common protein supplements. We have shown that balanced amino acids stimulate muscle protein anabolism in the elderly, but it is unknown whether all amino acids are necessary to achieve this effect.

**Objective:** We assessed whether nonessential amino acids are required in a nutritional supplement to stimulate muscle protein anabolism in the elderly.

**Design:** We compared the response of muscle protein metabolism to either 18 g essential amino acids (EAA group: \( n = 6 \), age 69 ± 2 y; \( \bar{x} \pm SD \)) or 40 g balanced amino acids (18 g essential amino acids + 22 g nonessential amino acids, BAA group; \( n = 8 \), age 71 ± 2 y) given orally in small boluses every 10 min for 3 h to healthy elderly volunteers. Muscle protein metabolism was measured in the basal state and during amino acid administration via [ring-\(^3\)H]phenylalanine infusion, femoral arterial and venous catheterization, and muscle biopsies.

**Results:** Phenylalanine net balance (in nmol · min \(^{-1} \) · 100 mL leg volume \(^{-1} \)) increased from the basal state (\( P < 0.01 \)), with no differences between groups (BAA: from \(-16 \pm 5 \) to \( 16 \pm 4 \); EAA: from \(-18 \pm 5 \) to \( 14 \pm 13 \)) because of an increase (\( P < 0.01 \)) in muscle protein synthesis and no change in breakdown.

**Conclusion:** Essential amino acids are primarily responsible for the amino acid–induced stimulation of muscle protein anabolism in the elderly.
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INTRODUCTION

Sarcopenia is a multifactorial problem of the elderly and is characterized by muscle loss and reduced strength, which can lead to functional dependence (1, 2). Undernutrition has been identified as one of the contributing factors that might be prevented by increased protein or energy intakes, or both (3–5). However, attempts to increase muscle mass, strength, and protein synthesis with commercial nutritional supplements or high-protein diets have been unsuccessful (6–8).

There are at least 2 possible reasons that can explain the inability of nutritional supplements or increased protein intake to enhance muscle growth and strength. First, there is evidence that the presence of carbohydrate in a nutritional supplement for the elderly is not beneficial (9) and may in fact impair the anabolic response of muscle proteins to the positive effect of amino acids alone (10, 11). The fact that both the commercial supplements and the high-protein diet tested in elderly adults contained carbohydrate might explain the ineffectiveness of the nutritional interventions tested in the previous studies (6–8). Second, it has been reported that elderly subjects who took supplements but did not increase their physical activity decreased their dietary intake accordingly; therefore, their total daily energy intake remained unchanged (6). This suggests that a nutritional supplement for the elderly should best be considered as more of a dietary substitute than a supplement.

Hence, a nutritional supplement for the prevention or treatment of sarcopenia should stimulate muscle anabolism more efficiently than does food or common protein supplements in order to achieve the highest protein anabolic efficiency per energy unit. We previously reported that a balanced amino acid mixture stimulated muscle protein anabolism in elderly subjects and in younger adults (11). Data obtained in young subjects suggest that essential amino acids are mostly responsible for the amino acid stimulation of muscle protein synthesis (12, 13), whereas nonessential amino acids are apparently ineffective even at very high doses (13). Nonessential amino acids comprise a significant portion of dietary proteins, including the high-quality proteins (eg, whey and egg) that are typically used to supplement protein-poor diets. If
nonessential amino acids are unnecessary for the stimulation of muscle anabolism in elderly people, even high-quality proteins could be inadequate for the effective treatment of sarcopenia, because they contain a significant amount of calories in the form of nonessential amino acids. In this case, the elimination of nonessential amino acids should maximize the anabolic efficiency of a supplement for the elderly by decreasing the total energy content while maintaining the stimulatory effect on muscle protein synthesis.

Therefore, to test whether nonessential amino acids are required for the amino acid–stimulated increase in muscle protein synthesis and anabolism in the elderly, we measured the response of muscle protein synthesis, breakdown, and net balance in healthy elderly subjects to either an essential amino acid supplement or a balanced amino acid supplement. The balanced amino acid supplement was designed by simply adding nonessential amino acids to the essential amino acid supplement. Thus, the essential amino acid content of both supplements was identical, whereas the total energy and nitrogen content of the balanced amino acid supplement was more than double that of the essential amino acid supplement.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

The subjects were recruited through the Sealy Center on Aging at the University of Texas Medical Branch. After giving written informed consent to the study protocol, which was approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Review Board, the subjects underwent screen ing, which included a clinical history, a physical examination, and an electrocardiogram; had blood drawn for a complete blood count, for the measurement of blood glucose concentrations, and to test for hepatitis, HIV, and liver and kidney function; and had a urinalysis. Exclusion criteria were heart disease, coagulation disorders, vascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, cancer, acute or chronic pulmonary disease, infectious diseases, and severe allergies. Subjects who had been taking anabolic steroids or corticosteroids long term were excluded. A careful clinical assessment of the amount of daily physical activity was performed to exclude any subjects engaged in regular aerobic or resistance-exercise training, with a history of falls (≥2/y), or with any impairment in their activities of daily living. The subjects performed their regular activities and maintained their usual diet during the week preceding the study. This was preferred to an early admission to the General Clinical Research Center or to the administration of a standardized diet for several days preceding the study, because these manipulations might affect basal muscle protein turnover (14). Six healthy elderly subjects were assigned to the essential amino acid protocol and were compared with a similar group of 8 healthy elderly subjects who were assigned to the balanced amino acid protocol (11) (Table 1). The 2 groups were studied sequentially.

Experimental protocol

Each subject was studied on one occasion after fasting overnight. On the evening before the study, the subjects were admitted to the General Clinical Research Center of the University of Texas Medical Branch. After 2200, the subjects were allowed only water ad libitum. At 0600, polyethylene catheters were inserted into a forearm vein for infusion of labeled phenylalanine, in a vein of the opposite hand for arterialized blood sampling, and into the femoral artery and vein of one leg for blood sampling. The femoral arterial catheter was also used for the infusion of indocyanine green (ICG; Akorn, Buffalo Grove, IL).

After a blood sample was obtained for the measurement of background phenylalanine enrichment and the ICG concentration, a primed (2 μmol/kg) continuous infusion of L-[ring-13C6]phenylalanine (0.05 μmol·kg−1·min−1) was started (time 0) and maintained until the end of the experiment (480 min). A muscle-biopsy sample (~80–100 mg tissue) was taken 120 min after the start of the infusion from the lateral portion of the vastus lateralis of the leg with the femoral catheters, ~20 cm above the knee, with the use of a Bergström biopsy cannula (Micrins, Lake Forest, IL). The tissue was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until analyzed. At 230 min the continuous infusion of ICG was started in the femoral artery (0.5 mg/min) and continued until 270 min. During the infusion, blood samples were taken 4 times (every 10 min) from the femoral vein and the hand vein to measure ICG concentrations. After the ICG infusion ended, between 270 and 300 min, blood samples were taken 4 times (every 10 min) from the femoral artery and vein to measure arterial and venous phenylalanine concentrations and enrichments and the arterial total amino acid concentration. At 300 min, a second muscle-biopsy sample was taken.

Immediately after the second biopsy sample was taken, the oral administration of either an essential amino acid or a balanced amino acid supplement was started and continued for 3 h until the end of the study. The amino acid content of the 2 mixtures is reported in Table 2. The crystalline amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis) were dissolved in 540 mL water containing a sugar-free flavor (Crystal Light; Kraft Foods Inc, White Plains, NY). The amino acids were given in small boluses (30 mL) every 10 min to maintain plasma phenylalanine enrichments and concentrations at steady state. L-[ring-13C6]phenylalanine or L-[15N]phenylalanine (18 μmol/kg body wt) was added to measure the first-pass splanchnic extraction of phenylalanine. An intravenous priming dose of the oral tracer (4 μmol/kg) was also administered at the time of the first oral bolus to rapidly achieve a steady state enrichment. Between 420 and 480 min, leg blood flow was measured again, and blood samples were taken as described for the basal period. At 480 min, before the tracer infusion and amino acid administration ended, a third muscle biopsy sample was taken.

Analytic methods

ICG concentrations in the infusedate and serum were measured spectrophotometrically at λ = 805 nm (15). ICG concentrations in the serum samples were measured directly in the

### Table 1

Characteristics of the 2 groups of healthy elderly subjects supplemented with either 18 g essential amino acids or 40 g balanced amino acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 1 F, 5 M)</th>
<th>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 2 F, 6 M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>86 ± 5</td>
<td>74 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>174 ± 5</td>
<td>170 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg volume (L)</td>
<td>10.91 ± 0.82</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

x ± SE. There were no significant differences between groups by two-tailed t test.
The muscle phenylalanine kinetic parameters were calculated by using 2 arteriovenous (AV) balance methods: a 2-pool model (19) and a 3-pool model, which was described and validated previously (17). The 2-pool model relies on the net amino acid balance and measurement of phenylalanine enrichments and concentrations in the femoral artery and vein to estimate muscle protein synthesis and breakdown. These parameters are based on the extraction of labeled phenylalanine from the femoral artery, the appearance of unlabeled phenylalanine from the muscle in the femoral vein, and the net AV difference in phenylalanine concentrations (19). The 3-pool model is an expansion of the 2-pool model and relies not only on the measurement of phenylalanine enrichments and concentrations in the femoral artery and vein but also on the direct measurement of phenylalanine enrichment in the free tissue water. This allows for the direct measurement of phenylalanine intracellular utilization for protein synthesis and release from protein breakdown. In addition, it is possible to calculate the rate of phenylalanine transport from the artery into the muscle tissue and from the muscle tissue into the venous blood.

Phenylalanine is used with both methods because it is an essential amino acid and is not oxidized in the muscle tissue. Thus, phenylalanine utilization in the muscle is a direct index of muscle protein synthesis, and its release from the muscle is a measure of muscle protein breakdown.

The 2-pool and the 3-pool models share the following parameters:

\[ \text{Delivery to the leg} = \text{influx} = C_A \times BF \]  
\[ \text{Release from the leg} = \text{efflux} = C_V \times BF \]  
\[ NB = (C_A - C_V) \times BF \]

where \( C_A \) and \( C_V \) are plasma phenylalanine concentrations in the femoral artery and vein, respectively; BF is leg blood flow; and NB is net balance. The remaining parameters of phenylalanine kinetics with the 2-pool model can be calculated by using the following equations:

\[ \text{Leg} Ra = (C_A \times E_A / E_M) \times BF \]  
\[ \text{Release in the blood from proteolysis} = Ra - \text{influx} = BF \times C_M [(E_M / E_V) - 1] \]  
\[ \text{Leg} Rd = \text{release in the blood from proteolysis} + NB = BF [(C_V \times E_V / E_V) - C_V] \]

where \( Ra \) is the rate of appearance; \( E_A \) and \( E_V \) are phenylalanine enrichments (tracer-tracee ratio) in the femoral artery and vein, respectively; and \( Rd \) is the rate of disappearance. Data are presented per 100 mL leg volume. In these calculations it is assumed that enrichment in the vein is the most similar to that of the intracellular pool. Leg \( Rd \) is a measure of the rate of incorporation of blood amino acids into muscle proteins, and leg \( Ra \) is the sum of the amino acids released from protein breakdown that appear in the blood plus the amino acid influx. Protein synthesis from amino acids derived from protein breakdown is not included.

The specific parameters of the 3-pool model were calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Transport into muscle} = F_{3\text{pool}} = [ (E_M - E_V) / (E_A - E_M) \times C_A ] \times BF \]

\[ \text{Transport from muscle} = F_{VM} = [ (E_M - E_V) / C_A ] \times BF \]

TABLE 2
Amino acids content of the 2 amino acid supplements\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 6)</th>
<th>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alanine (g)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine (g)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine (g)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteine (g)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine (g)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine (g)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine (g)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine (g)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine (g)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine (g)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine (g)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine (g)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline (g)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine (g)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine (g)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan (g)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine (g)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.0 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine (g)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amino acids (g)</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>40.7 ± 1.2 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (kJ)</td>
<td>309 ± 6</td>
<td>693 ± 2 (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kcal)</td>
<td>74 ± 1</td>
<td>166 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)± SE. The supplements were made of crystalline amino acids and dissolved in 540 mL of water containing a sugar-free flavor.

\(^2\)Significantly different from 18 g essential amino acids, \( P < 0.0001 \).
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\[(E_A - E_M) \times C_V + C_V \times BF\]  (8)

AV shunting = \(F_{VA} = Influx - F_{MA}\)  (9)

Release from proteolysis = \(F_{MD} = F_{MA} \times [(E_A/E_M) - 1]\)  (10)

Utilization for protein synthesis = \(F_{0M} = F_{0M} + NB\)  (11)

where \(E_M\) is the phenylalanine enrichment (tracer-tracee ratio) in the muscle. Data are presented per 100 mL leg volume (17).

Intracellular amino acid availability is given by the sum of transport \(E_P\) and the free-tissue phenylalanine enrichment by using the precursor-product model (21):

\[FSR = [(\Delta E_P/t)/(E_{M1} + E_{M2}))/2] \times 60 \times 100\]  (13)

where \(\Delta E_P\) is the increment of protein-bound phenylalanine enrichment between 2 sequential biopsies, \(t\) is the time interval between the 2 sequential biopsies, and \(E_{M1}\) and \(E_{M2}\) are the phenylalanine enrichments (tracer-tracee ratio) in the free muscle pool in 2 subsequent biopsies. The results are presented as %/h.

The whole-body phenylalanine \(Ra\) (in \(\mu\)mol \cdot kg\(^{-1}\) \cdot min\(^{-1}\)) was calculated by using the single-pool model:

\[Whole-body total Ra = I_{IV}/E_{AIV}\]  (14)

where \(I_{IV}\) is the intravenous tracer infusion rate (\(\mu\)mol \cdot kg\(^{-1}\) \cdot min\(^{-1}\)) and \(E_{AIV}\) is the arterial phenylalanine enrichment with the intravenous tracer. The whole-body \(Ra\) represents a measure of whole-body endogenous protein synthesis in the basal period, whereas in the supplement period it includes not only endogenous protein synthesis but also the \(Ra\) in the systemic circulation of the unlabelled phenylalanine derived from the amino acid supplement. Thus, to calculate the whole-body endogenous protein synthesis in the supplement period, it is necessary to subtract the contribution of dietary phenylalanine from the total phenylalanine \(Ra\). Part of the dietary phenylalanine should not be considered in this calculation because it is taken up by the splanchnic tissues at first pass. Thus, we measured the first-pass splanchnic extraction of phenylalanine with the use of the double-tracer technique as previously described (22):

\[First-pass splanchnic extraction = 1 - [(E_{Adoral}/I_{oral})/(E_{AIV}/I_{IV})]\]  (15)

where \(E_{Adoral}\) is the arterial enrichment and \(I_{oral}\) is the infusion rate of the oral tracer.

The first-pass splanchnic extraction was used to correct the oral phenylalanine intake values to calculate the phenylalanine \(Ra\) from endogenous proteolysis during amino acid supplementation:

\[Whole-body endogenous phenylalanine Ra = whole-body total Ra \times (1 - first-pass splanchnic extraction)\]  (16)

Statistical analysis

The primary endpoints were measures of muscle protein synthesis, breakdown, and phenylalanine net balance across the leg. The two-tailed \(t\) test was used to assess the group effect on the variables with 2 levels only: subjects’ characteristics, oral tracer enrichment, oral intake of unlabelled phenylalanine, and first-pass splanchnic extraction of phenylalanine. The group and supplement effects on the other response variables were analyzed by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with correction for repeated measures. Post hoc multiple comparisons were carried out when appropriate with the use of Tukey’s test. Analysis of covariance was performed on the post-differences when appropriate by using the basal values as the covariates.

Sample size and power calculations were performed by using the 2-sample Bonferroni-corrected \(t\) test because it is more conservative than ANOVA, ie, if we had enough subjects with the 2-sample \(t\) test analysis then we would have enough subjects for the ANOVA model. We considered that a difference between treatments is physiologically significant if it leads to a measurable muscle gain over a relatively short chronic daily treatment (6–12 mo). Consequently, the most important parameter is phenylalanine net balance, because it is the direct measure of the net anabolic or catabolic effect of the treatments on muscle proteins. The smallest physiologically significant difference for this parameter is \(= 30 \mu\)mol \cdot min\(^{-1}\) \cdot 100 mL leg volume\(^{-1}\), because it corresponds to a protein gain of \(= 2–3\) g/leg per single treatment administration. Assuming that the acute response does not change over time, such a difference would result in a gain of \(= 400–500\) g muscle mass per leg (measurable by dual-energy X-ray absorptionometry or magnetic resonance imaging) over a 6-mo chronic daily treatment. For the sample size calculation, we used the highest variability (\(13 \mu\)mol \cdot min\(^{-1}\) \cdot 100 mL leg volume\(^{-1}\)) measured in a previous study (11). We found that we needed 5 subjects per group to detect a difference of 30 \(\mu\)mol \cdot min\(^{-1}\) \cdot 100 mL leg volume\(^{-1}\) with \(\alpha = 0.05/2\) and \(\beta = 0.8\) or 6 subjects per group for a \(\beta = 0.9\). We divided the type 1 error by 2 because we were comparing 2 differences. Statistical analysis was performed by using JMP statistical software (version 4.0.2; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Data are reported as means \pm SEs. Differences were considered significant at \(P \leq 0.05\).

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The characteristics of the subjects were not significantly different between the essential amino acid (EAA) and balanced amino acid (BAA) groups (Table 1).

Leg blood flow

Leg blood flow was not different between the 2 groups (group effect: \(P = 0.94\)) and did not change significantly from basal values during administration of the supplement (supplement effect: \(P = 0.31\)) in either group: EAA group (from 2.84 ± 0.37 to 3.24 ± 0.77 mL \cdot min\(^{-1}\) \cdot 100 mL leg volume\(^{-1}\); \(n = 6\)) and BAA group (from 2.98 ± 0.49 to 3.23 ± 0.49 mL \cdot min\(^{-1}\) \cdot 100 mL leg volume\(^{-1}\); \(n = 8\)). The treatment-by-group interaction was not significant (\(P = 0.81\)).

Concentrations and enrichments

Plasma insulin concentrations were not significantly different in the basal state. Insulin concentrations increased slightly but significantly from basal values only during balanced amino acid consumption (from 4.6 ± 0.9 to 8.8 ± 1.3 \(\mu\)U/mL; \(n = 8\)), with no significant change during essential amino acid consumption.
TABLE 3  
Arterial amino acid concentrations in the 2 groups of healthy elderly subjects in the basal state and during supplementation with either 18 g essential amino acids or 40 g balanced amino acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Basal state</th>
<th>Supplementation</th>
<th>P (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 5)</td>
<td>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 5)</td>
<td>Group effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Essential amino acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Histidine             | 57 ± 7      | 80 ± 15         | 56 ± 4    | 95 ± 10     | 0.58 | 0.0027 | 0.29  
| Isoleucine            | 41 ± 2      | 130 ± 32        | 50 ± 4    | 132 ± 5     | 0.77 | 0.0008 | 0.81  
| Leucine               | 166 ± 14    | 556 ± 54        | 159 ± 14  | 439 ± 24    | 0.10 | <0.0001 | 0.09  
| Lysine                | 137 ± 22    | 255 ± 56        | 126 ± 10  | 224 ± 20    | 0.58 | 0.0032 | 0.70  
| Methionine            | 26 ± 4      | 27 ± 4          | 18 ± 3    | 36 ± 6†     | 0.91 | 0.0014 | 0.0024  
| Phenylalanine         | 66 ± 4      | 151 ± 18        | 59 ± 3    | 111 ± 10    | 0.06 | 0.0001 | 0.14  
| Threonine             | 91 ± 9      | 152 ± 39        | 91 ± 7    | 170 ± 5     | 0.68 | 0.0063 | 0.67  
| Valine                | 187 ± 20    | 340 ± 66        | 170 ± 13  | 376 ± 46    | 0.85 | 0.0006 | 0.44  
| Total                 | 770 ± 56    | 1691 ± 195      | 729 ± 46  | 1583 ± 34   | 0.53 | <0.0001 | 0.74  
| **Nonessential amino acids** | | | | | |  
| Alanine               | 333 ± 49    | 430 ± 52        | 255 ± 39  | 311 ± 28    | 0.12 | 0.0061 | 0.37  
| Arginine              | 88 ± 10     | 88 ± 14         | 82 ± 7    | 153 ± 6†    | 0.12 | <0.0001 | <0.0001  
| Aspartate and asparagine | 43 ± 9     | 41 ± 6          | 56 ± 2    | 124 ± 4†    | 0.0003 | <0.0001 | <0.0001  
| Glutamate and glutamine | 851 ± 142  | 953 ± 131       | 988 ± 66  | 1077 ± 70   | 0.41 | 0.0172 | 0.85  
| Glycine               | 131 ± 22    | 113 ± 19        | 181 ± 19  | 222 ± 24†   | 0.0289 | 0.0375 | 0.0002  
| Serine                | 122 ± 27    | 128 ± 22        | 120 ± 10  | 182 ± 11    | 0.33 | 0.0021 | 0.0063  
| Tyrosine              | 52 ± 6      | 64 ± 9          | 46 ± 7    | 60 ± 5      | 0.58 | 0.0169 | 0.91  
| Total                 | 1620 ± 246  | 1827 ± 231      | 1727 ± 98 | 2128 ± 79   | 0.43 | 0.0008 | 0.13  

†X ± SE.
††Significantly different from the basal state (within group), P < 0.05.
†††Significantly different from the essential amino acids group (within study period), P < 0.05.

(from 2.9 ± 1.2 to 4.1 ± 0.9 μU/mL; n = 5). The group effect was not significant (P = 0.06), whereas the supplement effect (P = 0.0002) and the treatment-by-group interaction (P = 0.011) were significant.

Arterial amino acid concentrations in 5 subjects per group are reported in Table 3. The concentrations of all essential amino acids increased significantly in both groups, except for methionine, which increased significantly only in the BAA group. The concentrations of alanine, glutamate plus glutamine, and tyrosine increased significantly in both groups, whereas the concentrations of arginine, aspartate plus asparagine, glycine, and serine increased significantly only in the BAA group.

Free phenylalanine concentrations in femoral artery, femoral vein, and muscle tissue increased significantly from the basal state during amino acid supplementation (Table 4). Although there was a significant group effect for all 3 variables, there was no significant treatment-by-group interaction. Phenylalanine enrichments in femoral artery, femoral vein, and muscle tissue decreased significantly from the basal state during amino acid supplementation; however, no significant differences between the 2 groups or a significant treatment-by-group interaction were found.

Whole-body phenylalanine kinetics

Whole-body phenylalanine kinetic parameters are reported in Table 5. Whole-body total phenylalanine rate of appearance increased significantly with amino acid supplementation in both...
groups, but no significant differences between the groups and no significant treatment-by-group interaction were found. The endogenous phenylalanine rate of appearance, an index of whole-body protein breakdown, was not significantly different between the 2 groups and did not change significantly during amino acid supplementation; no significant treatment-by-group interaction was found. The first-pass splanchnic extraction of the orally administered phenylalanine was not significantly different between the 2 groups.

### Leg phenylalanine kinetics

The parameters of phenylalanine kinetics across the leg are reported in Table 6. Phenylalanine delivery to the leg and release from the leg increased significantly from the basal state in both groups during amino acid supplementation; no significant differences between groups and no significant treatment-by-group interaction were found. Phenylalanine net balance across the leg was negative in the basal state in both groups, indicating net muscle protein catabolism, and became positive during amino acid supplementation, but no significant differences between groups or a significant treatment-by-group interaction were found. The between-group difference in the pre-post response of net balance was < 1 nmol·min⁻¹·100 mL leg volume⁻¹, which is much lower than the difference that we consider to be physiologically significant (30 nmol·min⁻¹·100 mL leg volume⁻¹; see Statistical analysis).

Amino acid supplementation significantly increased the total phenylalanine leg Ra, did not change the release of phenylalanine in the blood from proteolysis, and significantly increased the utilization of blood phenylalanine for muscle protein synthesis (leg Rd); no significant differences between groups or a significant treatment-by-group interaction were found.

The transport of phenylalanine from the femoral artery into the muscle and from the muscle into the femoral vein increased significantly from the basal state during amino acid supplementation; the group effect was significant, but no significant treatment-by-group interaction was found. AV shunting of phenylalanine slightly but significantly increased during amino acid supplementation, but no significant group effect or treatment-by-group interaction was found. The release of phenylalanine from muscle proteolysis did not change significantly in either group,

### Table 6

Phenylalanine kinetics across the leg in the 2 groups of healthy elderly subjects in the basal state and during supplementation with either 18 g essential amino acids or 40 g balanced amino acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common parameters</th>
<th>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 6)</th>
<th>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 8)</th>
<th>P (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to the leg</td>
<td>190 ± 22</td>
<td>433 ± 47</td>
<td>174 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from the leg</td>
<td>208 ± 27</td>
<td>419 ± 59</td>
<td>190 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net balance across the leg</td>
<td>−18 ± 5</td>
<td>14 ± 13</td>
<td>−16 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2-Pool model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-Pool model</th>
<th>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 6)</th>
<th>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 8)</th>
<th>P (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leg Ra</td>
<td>261 ± 28</td>
<td>491 ± 62</td>
<td>223 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release in the blood from proteolysis</td>
<td>70 ± 8</td>
<td>58 ± 17</td>
<td>49 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Rd</td>
<td>52 ± 5</td>
<td>72 ± 9</td>
<td>33 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3-Pool model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3-Pool model</th>
<th>18 g Essential amino acids (n = 6)</th>
<th>40 g Balanced amino acids (n = 8)</th>
<th>P (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport into the muscle</td>
<td>129 ± 16</td>
<td>343 ± 42</td>
<td>98 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from the muscle</td>
<td>147 ± 19</td>
<td>329 ± 55</td>
<td>114 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV shunting</td>
<td>61 ± 18</td>
<td>90 ± 35</td>
<td>76 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from proteolysis</td>
<td>80 ± 9</td>
<td>61 ± 17</td>
<td>58 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization for protein synthesis</td>
<td>62 ± 6</td>
<td>75 ± 10</td>
<td>43 ± 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 ± SE, Ra, rate of appearance; Rd, rate of disappearance; AV, arteriovenous.

1-3 Analysis of covariance on pre-post differences (eg, between basal state and supplementation) between groups with the use of basal values as covariates: 2 P = 0.30, 3 P = 0.41.
The results of our experiment show that essential amino acids are primarily responsible for the amino acid stimulation of muscle protein anabolism in elderly persons, whereas nonessential amino acids did not provide any additional significant stimulation of muscle anabolism above that reached with essential amino acids alone. Specifically, the phenylalanine net balance across the leg, which was negative in the basal state (indicating net muscle protein catabolism), shifted to a positive value during the intake of 18 g essential amino acids alone or in combination with 22 g nonessential amino acids (balanced mixture), which indicated net muscle protein anabolism. The magnitude of the anabolic effect of both supplements was not different between groups (32 mmol · min⁻¹ · 100 mL leg volume⁻¹).

The stimulation of muscle protein anabolism by both the essential and the balanced amino acid supplements was due to similar increases in muscle protein synthesis, as measured by the precursor-product approach (FSR) and the AV balance method with both the 2- and the 3-pool models. Muscle protein breakdown did not change significantly from the basal state with either amino acid supplement, regardless of the method used to measure it (2- or 3-pool model). This finding confirmed our previous observations in young (11, 23) and elderly (10, 11) subjects. Consistently, whole-body proteolysis remained unchanged during the ingestion of the supplements.

Our experiment was designed so that the essential amino acid content and composition of both supplements was identical (18 g). Therefore, the balanced amino acid supplement (18 g essential amino acids plus 22 g nonessential amino acids) delivered more than twice as much energy and amino nitrogen as did the essential amino acid supplement. Despite such a higher dose of amino nitrogen, the balanced amino acid supplement failed to improve any of the indexes of muscle protein anabolism as compared with the essential amino acid supplement. Thus, the anabolic efficiency (anabolic effect per unit of amino nitrogen and energy) of the essential amino acid supplement was double that of the balanced amino acid supplement. In addition, the results support the notion that the stimulation of muscle protein synthesis by amino acids is not simply driven by a generalized increase in substrate availability but is regulated by the specific effect of some or all of the essential amino acids. Several authors have shown that branched-chain amino acids (24, 25), particularly leucine (26–28), stimulate muscle protein synthesis in rats by enhancing messenger RNA translation via increased formation of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4F complex, activation of ribosomal protein S6, and some other mechanism that has not yet been elucidated (27, 28). Furthermore, it was shown in young human subjects that a flooding dose of a single essential amino acid (leucine, phenylalanine, threonine, or valine) doubled the synthesis rates of skeletal muscle proteins, whereas flooding doses of single nonessential amino acids (arginine, glycine, and serine) had no effect on muscle protein synthesis (12, 13, 29).

Nevertheless, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that the lack of an additional benefit of the balanced amino acid supplement over the essential amino acid supplement might have been due to the achievement of a maximal muscle protein synthesis response to the essential amino acid supplement (18 g over 3 h). In fact, there are no dose-repose data regarding the stimulation of muscle protein synthesis by amino acids or proteins in elderly people. However, we believe that this is not the case. The subjects in both groups received ≈0.2 g essential amino acids/kg, and the subjects in the BAA group received a total of 0.4 g balanced amino acids/kg. These values correspond roughly to one-fourth and one-half, respectively, of the recommended dietary allowance for protein (0.8 g · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹) (30). In other words, we tested the effects of the amino acids that are normally contained in a main meal. Nonetheless, further dose-response studies are warranted.

It was recently shown that a pulse-feeding pattern improves protein retention in elderly women more so than does a spread pattern (31), whereas it does not affect protein retention in younger women (32). Because we used a continuous feeding pattern to measure muscle protein turnover under steady state conditions, this design could have reduced our ability to detect a difference between the essential amino acid and the balanced amino acid supplements. However, if such a problem had occurred, we should have at least found a trend for muscle protein anabolism to be increased with the balanced amino acid supplement. Yet, the magnitude of the anabolic response obtained with both supplements in our 2 groups of elderly subjects was virtually identical, as indicated by the phenylalanine net balance across the leg values and

![Fractional synthesis rates in muscle protein](https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article-abstract/78/2/250/4689932/FIGURE_1)
the results of the power calculation that we had previously performed. Thus, it is unlikely that the feeding pattern affected our ability to detect any potential differences between supplements.

Phenylalanine first-pass splanchnic extraction, arterial concentrations, and delivery to the leg increased similarly from the basal state during essential amino acid and balanced amino acid supplementation. Nonetheless, we found that its transport from the arterial blood into the muscle and from the muscle into the femoral vein was significantly higher during essential amino acid supplementation than during balanced amino acid supplementation. We hypothesize that the slower phenylalanine transport rate during balanced amino acid supplementation was due to competition of some nonessential amino acids deriving from the supplement for the system L, which is the only transmembrane transporter for phenylalanine (33, 34). This speculation has yet to be tested. On the other hand, it is more likely that the slower transport rates in the BAA group were simply due to basal differences. Analysis of covariance, with the basal values as covariates, suggests that this may be the case, because we found no significant group differences in the transport rates.

Finally, we found that the administration of balanced amino acids approximately doubled the basal insulin concentration, whereas the essential amino acid supplement did not induce any significant changes, possibly because of the lack of arginine. Insulin is an important regulator of muscle protein turnover (35). It is possible that the higher insulin concentrations in the BAA group might have been responsible for the slightly lower phenylalanine transport rates in the basal state and during supplementation. However, this is unlikely because it was shown in vitro and in vivo that insulin stimulates only the amino acid transport system A, whereas phenylalanine is transported by amino acid transport system L (33, 34, 36). Regardless, we do not think that these small differences in insulin concentrations had a significant effect on the overall results of our study. The lack of significant differences in muscle protein breakdown and synthesis, the 2 parameters most likely to be affected by insulin, suggests that such a small difference in insulin concentrations was insufficient to affect muscle protein turnover. Nevertheless, specific dose-response studies of insulin action on protein turnover are warranted.

In conclusion, our data support the notion that essential amino acids are primarily responsible for the amino acid–induced muscle protein anabolism in elderly subjects. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that nonessential amino acids per se may somewhat affect muscle protein anabolism, we nonetheless conclude from our data that nonessential amino acids do not provide any additional benefit when given together with essential amino acids at the doses used in our study. This is particularly important if nutritional supplementation alone is to be used to treat muscle loss with aging, because elderly persons who take nutritional supplements tend to decrease their food intake in a calorie-for-calorie fashion (6). Thus, for nutritional supplementation to be beneficial, a supplement has to be more effective in stimulating muscle protein growth than is regular food or conventional protein supplements. The results of the present study and those of our previous study, which indicate that the addition of carbohydrates to a supplement for the elderly may impair the anabolic response of muscle proteins (9), suggest that an effective supplement should contain essential amino acids and no carbohydrates. This composition would dramatically increase the anabolic efficiency of a nutritional supplement for the treatment of sarcopenia in the elderly. Further studies are required to assess whether specific essential amino acids are more beneficial than others and to test whether the long-term treatment with essential amino acid supplements can effectively increase muscle mass in elderly persons.
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