also important to consider that the study population had a relatively high education level (only 7.5% of the participants had less than a high school education). Because limited education or literacy may be expected to attenuate the effects of an education program, their results may not be relevant for other countries that have a lower average education level or for segments of the US population with less literacy.
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In Reply: We agree with Dr Mariotto that many diverse factors contribute to hypertension and success in its management and that the evaluation of such potential confounders is an important step in the evaluation of clinical trials. The intervention used in the Federal Study of Adherence to Medications in the Elderly focused on adherence to medications that have known efficacy in the treatment of hypertension and hyperlipidemia. No data were collected on factors such as physical activity or diet, so we cannot present a comparison between the study groups or adjust for any differences.

Regarding the generalizability of our findings to other populations, the participant characteristics we described in Table 1 suggest the types of patients for whom our results are most directly applicable. We agree that data from clinical trial populations are most appropriately translated to clinical care populations when both are closely matched on relevant variables.

Allen J. Taylor, MD
allen.taylor@na.amedd.army.mil
Jeannie K. Lee, PharmD
Karen A. Grace, PharmD
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC
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RESEARCH LETTER

Effect of 10 Days of Bed Rest on Skeletal Muscle in Healthy Older Adults

To the Editor: Older adults are more likely to be hospitalized and are at risk for functional decline during hospitalization. Bed rest may contribute to this functional compromise. We examined the effect of 10 days of bed rest in healthy older men and women on skeletal muscle protein synthesis, nitrogen balance, lean tissue mass, and lower extremity strength.

Methods: Twelve healthy older adults who were moderately active (mean [SD] age, 67 [5] years; 50% women) were recruited from advertisements and compensated for their participation. They remained in bed continuously for 10 days, except for toileting, and they consumed a eucaloric diet providing the recommended dietary allowance for protein (0.8 g/kg of protein per day). Measurements before and after bed rest included the fractional muscle protein synthesis rate over 24 hours, lean body mass by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA, Hologic Inc, Waltham, Mass), and unilateral knee extension strength (Cybex Strength Systems, Ronkonkoma, NY). Urinary nitrogen balance was determined before and during the bed rest period. Prophylactic measures were performed to prevent deep vein thrombosis, and ultrasound examination results were negative for all participants at the conclusion of the bed rest. One participant was excluded from all analyses due to insufficient protein intake; an additional participant was excluded from the DEXA analysis because a DEXA scan was not administered before bed rest and another participant’s fractional synthesis rate measurement was excluded because of a technical error.

Values for muscle protein synthesis, DEXA, and knee extension strength before and after bed rest were compared by paired t tests. Nitrogen balance data were analyzed with repeated measures analysis of variance and post hoc Tukey

Figure. Daily Nitrogen Balance (n=11)

Squares with error bars represent means and 95% confidence intervals for the time period.

*Significantly different from days 1 through 4 and days 5 through 8 before bed rest (repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc Tukey test, \( P = .02 \)).
test. A change in the mean (SD) fractional synthesis rate of 0.034% (0.019%) per hour (2-tailed \( \alpha = .05 \)) would result in a power of 99.9% with 12 participants. However, when power was calculated with the actual changes for each parameter and the number of participants assessed, the following values were obtained: fractional synthetic rate, 77%; whole body lean mass, 90%; leg lean mass, 94%; strength, 97%; and nitrogen balance, 70%. Analyses were performed with Excel 2004 (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, Wash) and SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). The institutional review board at each site approved this study, and each participant signed a written informed consent.

Results. Comparing values after bed rest with before bed rest values, there was a significant decrease in muscle protein synthesis (−0.027% per hour; 95% confidence interval [CI], −0.007 to −0.047% per hour); % change, −30%); DEXA lean mass (−15.6%); whole body lean mass (−6.3%); lower extremity lean mass (−3.2%); and nitrogen balance, 70%. Analyses were performed with Excel 2004 (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, Wash) and SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). The institutional review board at each site approved this study, and each participant signed a written informed consent.

Table. Effects of 10 Days of Bed Rest in Older Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>Mean (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bed Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle fractional synthetic rate, % per h</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>−30.0 (−7.0 to −54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEXA lean mass, kg†</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>−3.2 (−1.4 to −5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremity</td>
<td>15.01 (12.41 to 17.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>−6.3 (−3.1 to −9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isokinetic muscle strength, Nm per s§</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>−15.6 (−8.0 to −23.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: DEXA, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; Nm, Newton meter.

*One participant was excluded from all analyses because of insufficient protein intake.
†Because of a technical error, the muscle fractional synthesis rate measurement was excluded for 1 participant.
‡One participant was excluded from the DEXA analysis because the scan before bed rest was not administered.
§Isokinetic knee extension at 60° per second.
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CORRECTIONS

Incorrect Wording: In the Medical News & Perspectives story entitled “Trials Probe New Agents for Kidney Cancer” published in the July 12, 2006, issue of JAMA (2006;296:195-197), sunitinib was misidentified as a second-line treatment for advanced renal cell carcinoma. On page 155, column 2, the first full sentence should be “The results led to sunitinib’s approval by the US Food and Drug Administration in January for advanced renal cell carcinoma.”

Mislabeled Column Headings in Table 7: In the Original Contribution entitled “Insurance Coverage, Medical Care Use, and Short-term Health Changes Following an Unintentional Injury or the Onset of a Chronic Condition” published in the March 14, 2007, issue of JAMA (2007;297:1073-1084), in Table 7, the column headings for columns 3 and 5 should be “Adjusted Difference, % (if Insured).” The dagger footnote should be “Underlying odds ratios (Table 4) for medical care use/health outcome category significantly different from insured, P<.05.”

Incorrect References Cited: In the Original Contribution entitled “Trends in Emergency Medicaid Expenditures for Recent and Undocumented Immigrants” published in the March 14, 2007, issue of JAMA (2007;297:1085-1092), 3 sentences cited incorrect references. On page 1090, within the Comment section, the second full sentence “In California, for example, a study in 2000 concluded that elimination of public funding for the prenatal care of undocumented immigrants would prove far more costly to taxpayers by substantially increasing low-birth weight, prematurity, and postnatal costs.33” should cite reference 32. The third sentence “Only 8 states have taken advantage of a 2002 “unborn child” option under the State Children’s Health Insurance Program, which applies federal matching dollars to prenatal care coverage for undocumented women, while 5 additional states provide state funding for prenatal care regardless of immigration status.34” should cite reference 44 instead of 30. The fifth sentence “Immigrants, and particularly Hispanic immigrants, account for a disproportionate number of workplace injuries and fatalities in the United States.35” should cite “US Department of Labor. Occupational Safety and Health Administration’s efforts to protect immigrant workers, statement of John L. Henshaw, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health before the Subcommittee on Employment, Safety and Training Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, United States Senate, February 27, 2002. http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=TESTIMONIES&p_id=286. Accessed November 2, 2006,” which is not listed among the article’s references.