Westgard Rules

**Audience**
All personnel in the Pulmonary Function Clinic.

**Purpose**
To explain the Westgard Rule Control Rules of quality control in detail.

**Rule 1-2s**
*Definition:* The 1-2s Control Rule indicates one control result has exceeded the established mean +/- 2SD range. This is a “warning rule,” which does not indicate an “out-of-control” condition, but is intended to initiate further testing.

*Interpretation:* If no other control rule is violated, then the warning is attributed to normal random error. Patient results are acceptable.

*Corrective Action:* No corrective action is required. However, the “warning” suggests a system error may be in the development. A comprehensive check of the routine maintenance schedule and review of the quality control ampoule handling and sampling technique is recommended.

**Rule 1-3s**
*Definition:* The 1-3s Control Rule indicates one control result has exceeded the established mean +/- 3SD range. This is a “rejection rule,” which is sensitive to random error.

*Interpretation:* Excessive random error exists. The analyzer is “out-of-control.” Patient results are not acceptable and should be re-analyzed after corrective actions have solved the problem.

*Corrective Action:* Rerun the quality control level that is in question, emphasizing proper technique. If the repeated level is within +/- 2SD range then the problem can be attributed to random error. If the repeated level exceeds the +/- 2SD range, then further corrective action should be conducted. The following are probable causes:

- Inadequate or wrong +/- 2SD range.
- Improper storage temperature correction of quality control results.
- Improper technique when handling the quality control.
- Change of quality control batch.
- Inadequate maintenance of the instrument.

**Rule 2-2s**
*Definition:* The 2-2s Control Rule indicates that two consecutive control results have exceeded the same mean +/- 2SD limit. This is a “rejection rule,” which is sensitive to systematic errors.
Interpretation: A systematic error exists. The analyzer is “out-of-control.” This may be an early indicator for a “shift” in the mean value. Patient results are not acceptable and should be re-analyzed after corrective action has solved the problem.

Corrective Action: To resolve systematic errors, corrective action should be conducted to address the following probable causes:

- Inadequate or wrong +/- 2SD range.
- Improper technique when handling the quality control.
- Improper storage temperature correction of the quality control results.
- Change of the quality control batch.
- Inadequate maintenance of the instrument.

**Rule R-4s**

**Definition:** The R-4s Control Rule indicates that one result has exceeded the mean +/- 2SD limit and the adjacent result has exceeded the mean +/- 2SD limit. This is a “rejection rule,” which is sensitive to random error.

**Interpretation:** Excessive random error exists. The analyzer is “out-of-control.” Patient results are not acceptable and should be re-analyzed after corrective action has solved the problem.

**Corrective Action:** See Rule 1-3s.

**Rule 4-1s**

**Definition:** The 4-1s Control Rule indicates four consecutive control results have exceeded the same mean +/- 1SD limit. This is a “rejection rule,” which is sensitive to systematic errors.

**Interpretation:** A systematic error exists. The analyzer is “out-of-control.” This may be an early indicator for a “shift” in the mean value. Patient results are not acceptable and should be re-analyzed after corrective action has solved the problem.

**Corrective Action:** See Rule 2-2s.

**Rule 10-x**

**Definition:** The 10-x Control Rule indicates ten consecutive control results have fallen on the same side of the mean. This is a “rejection rule,” which is sensitive to systematic errors.

**Interpretation:** A systematic error exists. The analyzer is “out-of-control.” Patient results are not acceptable and should be re-analyzed after corrective action has solved the problem.

Corrective Action: See Rule 2-2s.
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